



**GRATA**  
INTERNATIONAL

Local Knowledge  
for Global Business

[www.gratanet.com](http://www.gratanet.com)

# Access to international arbitration in investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) in Uzbekistan

## Access to International Arbitration in investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) in Uzbekistan

The influx of foreign direct investment in Uzbekistan has found a breath of fresh air after fundamental changes in the higher political structures in 2017-2018. Since that, several reforms pro-business credentials have been vigorously enforced in Uzbekistan. By virtue of the recent amendments in the Uzbek investment law, the state guarantees provided by national law, BITs and MITs cherish a hope for the investor entering the Uzbek market.

Among one of the paramount guarantees is the right to access international arbitration. One cannot deny that due to the high risk of bias or lack of expertise by national judicial organs, denial of justice is overseen as a front-row risk for the investor facing an obligation to refer to the national court. Here is the obvious clash of state's and investor's interests, since in most of the cases the host country seeks to resolve disputes with investors within its jurisdiction (Calvo doctrine).

The same scenario may be viewed in Uzbekistan. As per Article 63 of the Law "On Investments and Investment Activities" No. ZRU-598 of December 25, 2019 (the "Law on Investments"), a dispute related to the investment activities of a foreign investor in the Republic of Uzbekistan shall be resolved in the following manner:

| STAGE 1     | STAGE 2   | STAGE 3         | STAGE 4                         |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| NEGOTIATION | MEDIATION | DOMESTIC COURTS | INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION COURT |



Negotiation – is the direct engagement of the parties (or their legal representatives) for the sake of reaching an amicable agreement.

The order of negotiation is not fixed by the Uzbek law.

In case negotiation does not yield results, starts the stage of mediation.

Mediation – is the process where a neutral person assists the parties in discussing the matter and reaching a resolution.

Mediation shall be made in compliance with the Law “On mediation” No. ZRU-482 as of July 3, 2018.

Though negotiation and mediation results have no binding effect based on the law, these are the obligatory pre-requisites before domestic courts or arbitration stages.

If negotiation and mediation stages prove fruitless, the dispute is referred to the domestic courts of Uzbekistan.

At this stage, one of the parties shall file the lawsuit with the relevant economic court of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

The hearing is made in accordance with the Economic Procedural Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

Bringing the claim to the international arbitration court is seen as the last resort remedy, used only if it is not possible to resolve investment dispute via the three previous stages.

How and under which conditions the party can lodge the claim with the arbitration court depends on the forum chosen in the arbitration agreement.

As can be seen, each of the steps is mandatory. For the pre-arbitration stages like negotiation and mediation, investors are mostly inclined to circumvent those by shortening or initiating simultaneously for the time-saving purposes.

As regards domestic courts stage, before referring to ICSID or any other international arbitration court, the investor-party shall have no other recourse but to have a chance with the economic courts of Uzbekistan first (*exhaustion of local remedies rule*). Evidently, this provision is a stumbling block on the way of applying to the international arbitration. However, one shall bear in mind that international treaties ratified by Uzbekistan are considered as integral part of its domestic law and in case of any inconsistencies would have priority.

#### INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT TREATIES, RATIFIED BY UZBEKISTAN

- 1965 Convention on the Procedure for the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Foreign Persons (the “ICSID Convention”)

Ratified by Uzbekistan in August 25, 1995

- 1994 Energy Charter Treaty (the “ECT”)

Ratified by Uzbekistan in December 22, 1995

and other Bilateral Investment Treaties (the “BIT”)

Thus, each of the above international agreements may give rise to direct access to foreign arbitration where both parties have expressly agreed to that in the arbitration agreement. In fact, most arbitration courts require written consent of both parties to arbitrate (“*ratione voluntatis*”). For example, pursuant to Article 25 of ICSID Convention foreign investors shall input arbitration

clause consenting to ICSID arbitration. No unilateral offer is taken into consideration, meaning that such consent shall be mutual in arbitration agreement and apply equally to each party.

Another opportunity to skip domestic courts arises in cases where such consent is given by the state in international investment treaties like BITs. There are currently 46 bilateral investment treaties in force to which Uzbekistan is a party. If home state is a party to BIT, the investor of this country obtains a set of standard guarantees, which *inter alia* may include right to access international arbitration. For instance, some BITs with Uzbekistan, like Switzerland - Uzbekistan BIT (1993), Japan - Uzbekistan BIT (2008) envisage right to lodge claim directly to the arbitration court.

Otherwise, there may be viewed other types of ISDS in BITs, providing either domestic court or foreign arbitration as alternative, so-called fork-in-the-road-clauses. For example, Russia-Uzbekistan BIT (2013) or China-Uzbekistan BIT (2011), eschew the necessity to go through domestic courts, provided the parties injected relevant dispute-resolution mechanism in their arbitration agreement. It needs to be recognized that BITs with fork-in-the-road-clauses are the commonest of other types of ISDS.

Though rarely, but one may stalk with BITs, which bar arbitration with the prior resort to local remedies. As a rule, such ISDS clauses can be met in old BITs like Iran - Uzbekistan BIT (2000).

Should there be no BIT or arbitration agreement on which the foreign investor may rely, international arbitration may become available on the basis of the multilateral investment agreements (MITs) like ECT, which contain the state's unconditional consent to arbitration. In turn, ICSID Convention does not imply for whatsoever consent. Pursuant to its preamble the mere participation in ICSID Convention does not constitute consent to its jurisdiction. In fact, none of the MITs, ratified by Uzbekistan granted exclusive jurisdiction to international arbitration. For example, under Article 26 of ECT, investors may submit the claim before ICSID, Stockholm Chamber of Commerce or initiate a proceeding under UNCITRAL rules, but only before they had failed in the pursuit of their claims before the domestic courts. Moreover, one shall take into account the peculiar nature of ECT, whose disputes pursuant to Article 1 (6) are limited solely to the investment in the energy sector.

As may be seen, the access to the international arbitration may be given in multiple ways. Somehow or other, the proper drafting of arbitration clause or arbitration agreement between the parties is of vital importance for gaining access to international arbitration.

## DRAFTING OF AN ARBITRATION AGREEMENT

The parties may decide on either an ad-hoc tribunal or institutional arbitration. Choice of fora is the key point for each arbitration agreement. At this stage the parties account for factors like price-performance ratio, reputation of the institution, overall speed of the proceedings, arbitrators' expertise, etc. Below are the available venues for the foreign investor, which applied foremost in ISDS involving Uzbekistan:

### ARBITRATION METHODS IN CASE OF MIXED (INVESTOR-STATE) DISPUTES

| Method No.1 | Name of the institution | Comments |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------|
|-------------|-------------------------|----------|

Ad-hoc  
tribunal

–

An ad-hoc arbitration is one not administered by an institution (like LCIA, ICC, PCA or ICSID). Thus, to have an ad-hoc tribunal, parties need to prescribe in detail selection of arbitrators, arbitral procedures, place of administration, administrative support, appointing authority and other procedural issues.

As a rule, parties proceeding on an ad-hoc basis will often incorporate the 1976 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (revised in 2010) into their agreement. In fact, UNCITRAL Rules may be applicable both for an ad-hoc tribunal and institutional arbitration like ICSID or LCIA.

Method No.2

Name of the institution

Comments



|               |                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Institutional | ICSID              | <p>International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) worth particular attention in this context.</p> <p>It was established in accordance with the Convention on the Procedure for the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Foreign Persons (1965) under the aegis of the World Bank.</p> <p>In comparison to the other institutions, ICSID sets stricter criteria for the access to its arbitration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) nationality criteria (<i>ratione personae</i>);</li> <li>ii) investment criteria (<i>ratione materiae</i>);</li> <li>iii) time criteria (<i>ratione temporis</i>).</li> </ul> <p>Regardless of these criteria, foreign investor's home and host country shall be <i>ab initio</i> parties to the ICSID Convention.</p> |
|               | PCA                | <p>Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) is the unique institution for dispute-settlement. PCA, first established by the 1899 Hague Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, is considered as one of the oldest institutions for ISDS. PCA provides administrative services for the arbitration, taking a role of appointing authority for arbitrators, by use of its arbitration rules like UNCITRAL rules or by providing support to the arbitration case.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|               | other institutions | <p>Among other most preferable international arbitrations institutions for the transfer of investment disputes with Uzbekistan may be marked International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), mostly formed on the basis of their own rules or 2010 UNCITRAL.</p> <p>Individual attention shall be paid to Tashkent International Arbitration Centre (TIAC) – an institution established in 2018, which has a growing tendency to be opted for the forum in arbitration agreements with Uzbekistan. TIAC's process is formed on the basis of TIAC Rules of Arbitration.</p>                                                                                                                                       |

As can be seen, the investor is provided with a wide range of dispute venues. At this stage, investor needs to make a proper choice of forum relying on the feasibility of enforcement of the particular court/tribunal's decisions. For this purpose, it is advisable to choose seat of arbitration in the country which is a party to the 1958 New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the "New York Convention").

Additionally, one shall take into account the specific nature of the contractual relationship with the state, which, unlike an ordinary contracting party enjoys the right of sovereign immunity. Therefore, to escape undesirable procedural consequences, a

state may waive its jurisdiction by including a waiver of sovereign immunity clause in the arbitration agreement. However, it is understandably difficult to obtain such a clause and the foreign investor must have a strong bargaining position to obtain it. It is also important to understand that such clause must not contradict national law, as otherwise it will be considered null and void.

Further to this, foreign investor shall procure the proper choice of forum included in the arbitration clause of the investment agreement, so that the arbitration court or tribunal ensures it has competence to adjudicate the case (*kompetenz-kompetenz* doctrine).

Should all of the criteria been met, arbitral awards in investor-state disputes shall be deemed final and non-reversible to another arbitration or domestic court (*res judicata*). Recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitration's court decisions on investment disputes can be made either through New York Convention or the ICSID Convention.

Arbitral awards under the ICSID Convention are automatically enforceable, whereas the arbitral awards under the New York Convention require both recognition and enforcement. The process of enforcement itself is carried out by the economic courts of the Republic of Uzbekistan. In addition, Uzbek courts apply the rules and provisions of the Economic Procedural Code, the Law "On enforcement of judicial decisions and acts of other bodies" No. 258-II as of August 29, 2001 and other legal and regulatory instruments for the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.

## CONCLUSION

A recent increase in the inflow of foreign capital in Uzbekistan has led to a natural increase in investor-state relationships that spilled over the jurisdiction of one country. Foreign investors seek to resolve disputes at the international level relying on the principle of diplomatic protection. As a counterbalance to this, Uzbek law limits resort to arbitration by its national law. Regardless of that, investors do have a gateway to international forum in a number of ways.

Direct access to arbitration would be granted to the foreign investor if the state gives written consent to arbitrate through arbitration agreement, which relies on MIT or BIT. Above that, investor may also have a direct recourse solely under BIT, save its ISDS provisions provide for the different order.

In other scenarios, investor has to go through mandatory stage with domestic courts first and only after that file the claim against state on the international fora.

[Download](#)

Author: **Malika Khushmatova, Associate**

**GRATA International, Uzbekistan**

## Practice areas

[DISPUTE RESOLUTION](#), [PROJECT FINANCE & PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP \(PPP\)](#)

## Locations

[UZBEKISTAN](#)